PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

VAN DER DONK, Ir. Henricus Matheus Corus Technology BV P.O. Box 10000 1970 CA IJMUIDEN **PAYS-BAS**

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(PCT Rule 71.1)

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Date of mailing (day/month/year)

08.03.2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference

CO 0178 PCT/Bo/A

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250

16.04.2004

16.04.2003

Applicant

CORUS TECHNOLOGY BV et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary report on patentability and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary report on patentability. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemptions from patentability, requirements for enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Novoa, C

Tel. +49 89 2399-2718



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or ager CO 0178 PCT/		FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250		International filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 16.04.2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC B22F7/00, B32B15/01						
Applicant CORUS TECH	NOLOGY BV et al.					
This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPO						
	a. Sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
seq	uence listing and/or tal	Bureau only) a total of (in oles related thereto, in co Listing (see Section 80)	omputer readable forn	per of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a n only, as indicated in the Supplemental e Instructions).		
4. This report	contains indications re	elating to the following it	ems:			
⊠ Box No	. I Basis of the opi	nion				
☐ Box No	. II Priority					
☐ Box No	. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive	e step and industrial applicability		
☐ Box No	. IV Lack of unity of	invention				
⊠ Box No	. V Reasoned state applicability; cit.	ement under Article 35(2 ations and explanations) with regard to novelt supporting such state	ty, inventive step or industrial ment		
☐ Box No	. VI Certain docume	ents cited				
☐ Box No	. VII Certain defects	in the international appl	ication			
☐ Box No	. VIII Certain observa	ations on the internation	al application			
Date of submission	of the demand		Date of completion of the	nis report		
21.09.2004			08.03.2005			
preliminary examin		nal	Authorized Officer	and the bas following for the state of the s		
al D-80	pean Patent Office . 298 Munich		Lilimpakis, E			
<i>O)))</i> Tel.	+49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 5236 +49 89 2399 - 4465	56 epmu d	Telephone No. +49 89	2399-2952		



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250

10/552741

	Box	k No. I Basis of the report			
1.	With	h regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was d, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:			
		 ☐ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ☐ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ☐ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3) 			
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):				
	Des	cription, Pages			
1-10		as originally filed			
	Clai	ms, Numbers			
	1-19	as originally filed			
	Drawings, Sheets				
	1/1	as originally filed			
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: the description, pages			
		☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets/figs			
		☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below ad not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the upplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
		☐ the description, pages ☐ the claims, Nos.			
		☐ the drawings, sheets/figs ☐ the sequence listing (specify):			
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):			
	*	If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/004250

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1,4,8,9,12,14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

3,5,15,16,19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-19

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

PCT/EP2004/004250

Re Item V.

- The following documents are referred to in this communication:
 D1: DE 198 48 632 A (M I M HUETTENWERKE DUISBURG GM) 30 March 2000 (2000-03-30)
- 2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1
- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

 Claim 1 relates to a sandwich or laminate structure, in the pre-foaming condition, where the components suitable for foaming (preferably Al-Si alloy,according to subclaim 4, and a foaming agent) are not found in the known mixed powder form, but the alloy is in form of a sheet and the agent is deposited on said sheet.
- 2.2 D1 deals with a preform to be put within a space defined by two metallic sheets ("Hohlraum") of a structure suitable for vehicle parts, said preform is suitable for foaming (see col.1, l.39-60). The particular construction of said preform consists of a metallic sheet with preference to AI (col.3, l.41-47) upon which a foaming agent preferably TiH₂ (col.4, l.10-16) is set.
 The preform put within the space of the metal layers ("Hohlraum") at the time before foaming is therefore a same construction as this actually claimed in cl. 1.
 - D1 solves the same problem as the present invention, namely, avoiding the use of powder constituents for the foam metal.
- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 19
 Dependent claims 2, 3, 4, 8-10, 12, 14, 15 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).
 - Claim 2 does not enjoy the priority right in that, the <u>core</u> is composed of at least a "foamable metal layer", which layer(s) are coated (at least one side) with a "foamable metal sheet" (i.e. according to cl.1 "foamable metal sheet" is a foamable metal and the agent). This combination of features were not in the original application and moreover, no information or features or effects of this new

structure is given in the present application.

Claim 3 is an obvious combination of the principle of claim 1, see also D1, fig.1.

The sole difference between the state of the art and **claim 4** appears to be the composition of the sheet alloy, given that D1 does not explicitly refers to an Al-Si alloy. However, the use of 4xxx alloys in preforms and in foaming structures is in general known and usual.

Claim 5 appears to contain a usual feature, i.e. a trivial selection of 4xxx Al-alloy for foaming structures, the merits or effects of the combination of the specific alloy were not set out in the application.

As far as the dependent **claims 8 and 9** is concerned their features are directly deduced from D1.

The same is also valid for **claim 10** (see D1, col.3, l.63-68), the lowering of the melting point is achieved by the addition of Zn in D1.

The same objection is raised for **claims 12 and 19**, if one considers the rolled structure of the preform per se (D1, fig.1), i.e. before the same is put into the empty space. This means that the <u>rolled</u> preform of D1 in a cut-out view consists of successive layers of the Al sheet (the two external Al layers in the role of claimed "external layers - skin plates", since cl. 12 provides also this possibility) interposed with the foaming agent.

The foamed product according to **claim 14** cannot be distinguished from any other foamed structure produced differently (see for example the classic "Frauenhoffer process") or the final product of D1. This claim 14 cannot be allowed in the present form for reasons of novelty in view of the common marketed foamed products or foamed laminates.

Claim 15 differs from the known technique applied in for example D1, in that, a "controlled load <u>preferably</u> in form of rolling" is applied on the preform. This feature does not appear however, to contribute to an inventive activity, since it is well known that the preforms to be foamed are rolled under pressure for better cohesion.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/004250